

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,462	04/18/2001	Alexander Walland	1/1152/1088	7878	
28501 75	590 07/08/2003				
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
900 RIDGEBU P. O. BOX 368		MORRIS, PATRICIA L			
RIDGEFIELD,	CT 06877		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625	<i>i</i> ,	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPAR DENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO
		F	EX	AMINER
	·	<u></u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				14
		Ţ.	DATE MAILED:	/

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

	ADVISORI AGRIC	,,,
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE	:	
a) is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
		ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no nan six months from the date of the final rejection.
The date on which the resp purposes of determining the	onse, the petition, and the fee have been to period of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. filed is the date of the response and also the date for the g amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accor	/	
Applicant's response to the fina to place the application in cond	rejection, filed 6/26/03 has be tion for allowance:	een considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments	to the claim and /or specification will not be	e entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincin presented.	showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issue:	s that would require further consideration a	nd/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deeme appeal.	d to place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present addition	nal claims without cancelling a correspondi	ng number of finally rejected claims.
112 rejection	of the NON-ELECTED Sol	bject matter. It fisher introduces new
2. Newly proposed or amend the non-allowable claims.	ed claims would be all	lowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, t be as follows:	ne proposed amendment will be entere	ed will not be entered and the status of the daims will
Claims allowed:		<u></u>
Claims objected to:	5.2.c.10.13 and 17	<u> </u>
However;	177.1	·
Applicant's response h	as overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. The attichant exhibit or req	uest for reconsideration has been consider the fector discontinuous formation and the fettinuous formation and the fet	red but does not overcome the rejection because for the reason
5. The affidavit or exhibit will r	ot be considered because applicant has no	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
presented.		
The proposed drawing correction	has has has not been approved b	y the examiner.
☐ Other		PRIMARY EXAMINER
		GROUP 120 -